Some of the slowdowns have been taken care of, as the Senator from Oklahoma knows. We had a number of judges who were held up because the White House did not directly answer the question whether they had been arrested or convicted in the last 10 years. We thought that was at least a worthwhile thing to know for someone getting a lifetime appointment. I think the White House might have realized it made sense and allowed them to answer the question, and it broke a logjam. We had 10 nominations, 5 judges. that went through this morning. My intention is to keep moving as rapidly as we can.

I ask the distinguished acting Republican leader, we could have rollcalls on the next two judges, or if he has no objection, I would ask we do them by voice vote. If he would like rollcalls, that is his right.

Mr. NICKLES. Senators want to get to the Defense authorization bill. There is no reason we cannot. I am sure it is not necessary to have a recorded vote. A voice vote is more than acceptable for the other two judges. I thank my friend and colleague and look forward to having a hearing on Mr. Estrada. Forty-nine Senators have requested a hearing on Mr. Estrada and on Mr. Roberts and other nominees for the circuit court. As soon as we get hearings, it would be much appreciated.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, since the topic of the Judiciary Committee's record on judicial confirmations was raised, I would like to take just a minute to make an observation.

As everyone here knows, I do not like to engage in the typical statistics judo that seems to be intrinsic to this issue. But I do want everyone to understand that, despite the progress that was just mentioned, we really have a lot more work to do.

Look at the percentages: The Senate has exercised its advice and consent duty on only 21 percent of President Bush's circuit nominees this year. The other 79 percent of our work remains unfinished. And our overall record is not much better: the Senate has confirmed only 37.5 percent of all judicial nominations we received from President Bush. We will conclude our work by leaving nearly 100 vacancies in the judicial branch.

Now, these facts are not escaping wider attention outside the Judiciary Committee. Last week, Vice President CHENEY sent a letter noting that "vacancies on the Federal bench are occurring at a faster pace than the confirmations of new judges, and barely one in four of President Bush's nominees has received a hearing and a vote." The Washington Post editorialized on November 30 that the committee should hold more judicial nominations hearings, concluding that, "[f]ailing to hold them in a timely fashion damages the judiciary, disrespects the President's power to name judges and is grossly unfair to often well-qualified nominees." And the Wall Street Journal observed on November 27 that there is a "pattern of judicial obstruction that has left 108 current vacancies on the Federal bench. . . With only days to go before the Senate adjourns for the year, only 28 percent of George W. Bush's nominees have been confirmed."

Of course, the reason why people are taking notice is that the process of advice and consent on the President's judicial nominations is not a game. This is not football or baseball, and the goal here is not a particular set of numbers. These are nominations for very important positions in the Federal Government, and it is the Senate's constitutional obligation to review them. Despite the work that we have done, there is simply no escaping the fact that we are about to stop work for the year with a judicial vacancy rate of 11.3 percent, which I believe is unacceptable by any measure. And, by the way, there is absolutely no point in accusing the administration of not sending more nominations to us, when we have made it clear that we will not devote any effort at all to reviewing 30 of the nominations the President did send

All this being said, however, I have reason to look forward to hitting the ground running next year. The Judiciary Committee's obvious focus on confirming nearly the same number of judges as we did President Clinton's first year, reassures me. After all, during President Clinton's second year in office, the Senate confirmed 100 of his judicial nominees. I fully expect that we will do the same for President George W. Bush, in fact, I take it as a pledge that we will confirm 100 Bush nominees in 2002.

Mr. LEAHY. I did not request a roll-call vote. I ask for a voice vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Ms. STABENOW). The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of William P. Johnson to be United States District Judge for the District of New Mexico?

The nomination was confirmed.

NOMINATION OF CLAY D. LAND, OF GEORGIA, TO BE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

The legislative clerk read the nomination of Clay D. Land, of Georgia, to be United States District Judge for the Middle District of Georgia.

Aiddle District of Georgia. Mr. LEAHY. I ask for a voice vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Clay D. Land, of Georgia, to be United States District Judge for the Middle District of Georgia?

The nomination was confirmed.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will return to legislative session.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nevada.

Mr. REID. I ask unanimous consent under the previous order we allow the Senator from Michigan and the Senator from Virginia, Messrs. LEVIN and WARNER, an hour and a half to talk on defense authorization, and Senator BYRD be recognized for half an hour, with Senator BYRD getting the first half hour.

Mr. WYDEN. Reserving the right to object.

Mr. WARNER. Could we clarify that half hour for Senator BYRD?

Mr. REID. It is in addition to the hour and a half.

Mr. WARNER. I defer to the chairman.

Mr. LEVIN. We can do that within the hour and a half, and Senator BYRD, if he wishes, can go first.

Mr. WYDEN. Reserving the right to object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. WYDEN. Reserving the right to object, I ask the distinguished leader from Nevada, I was under the impression that as to the amendment that has been worked out with Senator HARKIN and Senator LUGAR, I could speak on that for 4 minutes.

Mr. REID. I was going to get this entered, and then when everyone has agreed, prior to going to this matter Senator Wyden would be recognized for up to 4 minutes on an amendment that has been agreed to on the Agriculture bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

AGRICULTURE, CONSERVATION, AND RURAL ENHANCEMENT ACT OF 2001—Continued

Mr. WYDEN. I ask unanimous consent that the amendment I filed with Senator Brownback of Kansas be called up at this time.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Michigan.

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, I want to make sure that Senator REID knows precisely what is going on. That is the only reluctance I have. I don't know whether it is even in order without first getting the bill before the Senate and then having the amendment and then setting the bill aside. I want Senator REID to hear your request.

Mr. WYDEN. To restate my request, I ask unanimous consent the amendment I have filed with Senator BROWNBACK of Kansas, that I believe can be disposed of very quickly, be considered at this time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

AMENDMENT NO. 2546 TO AMENDMENT NO. 2471

(Purpose: To provide for forest carbon sequestration and carbon trading by farmerowned cooperatives)

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.